

# On large subsets of $\mathbb{F}_3^n$ with no three-term arithmetic progression

Jordan S. Ellenberg  
University of Wisconsin-Madison

12 May 2016

## Abstract

In this note, we show that the method of Croot, Lev, and Pach can be used to bound the size of a subset of  $\mathbb{F}_3^n$  with no three terms in arithmetic progression by  $c^n$  with  $c < 3$ . Previously the best known upper bound, due to Bateman and Katz [BK], was on order  $n^{-1-\epsilon}3^n$ .

Let  $S_n$  be the  $\mathbb{F}_3$ -vector space spanned by cube-free monomials in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . We may think of this as the space of  $n$ -variable polynomials over  $\mathbb{F}_3$ , subject to the equivalence relation that equates polynomials when they take the same value at every point of  $\mathbb{F}_3^n$ . For any real number  $d$  in  $[0, 2n]$ , let  $M_n^d$  be the set of cube-free monomials of degree at most  $d$  and  $S_n^d$  the subspace of  $S_n$  they span. Write  $m_d$  for the dimension of  $S_n^d$ . By a slight abuse of notation, we use “polynomial of degree at most  $d$ ” to mean an element of  $S_n^d$ .

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $d$  be an integer, let  $P$  be an element of  $S_n^d$ , and let  $A$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{F}_3^n$ . Suppose  $P(a + a') = 0$  for every pair  $a, a'$  of distinct elements of  $A$ . Then the number of  $a \in A$  for which  $P(2a) \neq 0$  is at most  $2m_{d/2}$ .*

*Proof.* This is essentially the same as Lemma 1 of Croot-Lev-Pach [CLP].

If

$$M(x) = x_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n}$$

is a cube-free monomial of degree  $d$ , then we have

$$M(x + y) = \sum_{m \in M_n^d} c_m m(x)(M/m)(y)$$

for some sequence of constants  $c_m \in \mathbb{F}_3$  indexed by  $M_{d/2}$ . Note that at least one of  $m$  and  $M/m$  lies in  $M_{d/2}$ .

More generally, any  $P \in S_n^d$  is a linear combination of monomials of degree at most  $d$ , so we can write

$$P(x + y) = \sum_{m, m' \in M_n^d: \deg(mm') \leq d} c_{m, m'} m(x)m'(y) \tag{1}$$

In each summand of (1), at least one of  $m$  and  $m'$  has degree less than  $d/2$ . We can therefore write

$$P(x + y) = \sum_{m \in M_n^{d/2}} m(x)F_m(y) + \sum_{m' \in M_n^{d/2}} m'(y)F_{m'}(x)$$

for some family of polynomials  $F_m$  indexed by  $m \in M_n^{d/2}$ . Specifically, we can take  $F_m = \sum_m c'_{m,m'} m'(y)$ , where  $c'_{m,m'} = c_{m,m'}$  if exactly one of  $m$  and  $m'$  has degree at most  $d/2$ , and  $(1/2)c_{m,m'}$  if both do.

Let  $W$  be the space of functions from  $M_n^{d/2}$  to  $\mathbb{F}_3^2$ . We can think of an element of  $W$  as a pair  $(a, b)$  of  $\mathbb{F}_3$ -valued functions on  $M_n^{d/2}$ . Then  $W$  carries a nondegenerate inner product structure given by

$$\langle (a, b), (a', b') \rangle = \sum_{m \in M_n^{d/2}} a(m)b'(m) + a'(m)b(m).$$

We define a (nonlinear) map  $\Phi : \mathbb{F}_3^n \rightarrow W$  by the rule

$$\Phi(x)(m) = (m(x), F_m(x)).$$

Then, for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_3^n$ , we have

$$P(x + y) = \langle \Phi(x), \Phi(y) \rangle.$$

It now follows from our hypothesis on  $P(a + a')$  that  $\Phi(a)$  and  $\Phi(a')$  are orthogonal in  $W$  for any two distinct  $a, a'$  in  $A$ . On the other hand, the norm  $\langle \Phi(a), \Phi(a) \rangle$  is just  $P(a + a) = P(2a)$ . If  $\Phi(a_1), \dots, \Phi(a_k)$  all have nonzero norm in  $W$  and are all mutually orthogonal, they must be linearly independent, so  $k \leq \dim W = 2m_{d/2}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

We will need some control of how  $m_d$  and  $m_{d/2}$  vary.

Let  $I$  be the *rate function* of a trivalent random variable  $X$  taking values 0, 1, 2 with probability  $1/3$  each. Let  $d = 2(1 - \delta)n$  for some positive real number  $\delta < 1/2$ . By Cramér's theorem on large deviations, we have

$$3^n - m_d < 3^n e^{-I(2-2\delta)n}$$

and

$$m_{d/2} < 3^n e^{-I(1-\delta)n}$$

for all sufficiently large  $n$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $A$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{F}_3^n$  containing no  $a, b, c$  with  $a + b + c = 0$ , and let  $\gamma$  be a real number less than  $I(2/3) = 0.085\dots$ . If  $n$  is sufficiently large, we have*

$$|A| < 3^n e^{-\gamma n}$$

In particular,  $3e^{-I(2/3)} < 2.756$ , so  $|A| < (2.756)^n$  for  $n$  large enough. We also note that the upper bound for  $|A|$  is roughly of the same order as the number of cube-free monomials in  $n$  variables of degree at most  $(2/3)n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $d$  be an integer such that  $m_d \geq 3^n - |A|$ . The space  $V$  of polynomials of degree at most  $d$  vanishing on the complement of  $-A$  has dimension at least  $m_d - 3^n + |A|$ . On the other hand,  $A + A$  is disjoint from  $-A$ , so any  $P$  vanishing on the complement of  $-A$  vanishes on  $A + A$ . By Proposition 1, we know that  $P(2a) = P(-a)$  is nonzero for at most  $2m_{d/2}$  points  $a$  of  $A$ , for every  $P$  in  $V$ .

We say a point  $a$  of  $A$  is *active* if  $P(-a)$  is nonzero for some  $P$  in  $V$ . The number of active points of  $A$  is evidently at least  $\dim V$ . On the other hand, at each active point  $a$  of  $V$ , the probability that a random element in  $V$  is nonzero is  $2/3$ . So the expected number of active points where a

random  $P$  in  $V$  takes a nonzero value is at least  $(2/3) \dim V$ , and in particular there is some  $P$  in  $V$  which takes nonzero values at  $(2/3) \dim V = (2/3)(m_d - 3^n + |A|)$  points.<sup>1</sup>

We thus have the inequality

$$(2/3)(m_d - 3^n + |A|) \leq 2m_{d/2}. \quad (2)$$

Suppose  $|A| > 3^n e^{-I(1-2\delta')n}$  for some positive real  $\delta' < 1/2$ . We can then take  $d$  to be  $2(1-\delta)n$  for any  $\delta < \delta'$ , and the inequality  $m_d \geq 3^n - |A|$  is satisfied, as long as  $n$  is sufficiently large. Moreover,

$$3^n - m_d < 3^n e^{-I(2-2\delta)n} < |A|^c$$

for some constant  $c < 1$ .

Now (2) becomes

$$(2/3)(|A| - |A|^c) < 2m_{d/2} < 2 \cdot 3^n e^{-I(1-\delta)n}.$$

This implies, for sufficiently large  $n$ , that  $I(2-2\delta') > I(1-\delta)$ . Since  $I(x)$  is symmetric around the mean  $x = 1$  of  $X$ , and is monotone increasing as  $x$  moves farther from 1 in either direction, this means that  $1-2\delta' > \delta$ . Since  $\delta$  was an arbitrary real number less than  $\delta'$ , this yields a contradiction for every  $\delta' > 1/3$ .  $\square$

*Remark 3.* The same argument should give a similar exponential bound for the density of a subset of  $\mathbb{F}_p^n$  with no three-term arithmetic progressions.

## Acknowledgments

The author is supported by NSF Grant DMS-1402620 and a Guggenheim Fellowship.

## References

- [BK] Bateman, M. and Katz, N.H., New bounds on cap sets, *J. Amer. Math. Soc* **25**, no. 2, 585–613 (2012)
- [CLP] Croot, E. and Lev, V. and Pach, P.P., Progression-free sets in  $\mathbf{Z}_4^n$  are exponentially small, arXiv preprint arXiv:1605.01506 (2016).

---

<sup>1</sup>We thank Terry Tao for this argument, which replaces a more complicated one in an earlier draft.